

Bipartite entangled non-orthogonal states

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 165

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/35/1/313>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.106

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 09:57

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Bipartite entangled non-orthogonal states

Xiaoguang Wang

Institute of Physics and Astronomy, Aarhus University, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark
and
Institute for Scientific Interchange (ISI) Foundation, Viale Settimio Severo 65, I-10133 Torino,
Italy

Received 11 July 2001, in final form 6 November 2001

Published 21 December 2001

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/35/165

Abstract

We give conditions under which general bipartite entangled non-orthogonal states become maximally entangled states. Using these conditions we construct a large class of entangled non-orthogonal states with exactly one ebit of entanglement in both bipartite and multipartite systems. One remarkable property is that the amount of entanglement in this class of states is independent on the parameters involved in the states. Finally we discuss how to generate the bipartite entangled non-orthogonal states.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.Hk, 03.67.Lx

1. Introduction

Quantum entanglement has generated much interest in quantum information processing such as quantum teleportation [1], superdense coding [2], quantum key distribution [3] and telecloning [4]. The entangled orthogonal states receive much attention in the study of quantum entanglement. However, the entangled non-orthogonal states also play an important role in quantum cryptography [5] and quantum information processing [6]. Bosonic entangled coherent states (ECS) [7] and $su(2)$ and $su(1, 1)$ ECS [8] are typical examples of entangled non-orthogonal states.

The coherent state can be used to encode quantum information on continuous variables [9] and several schemes [10–12] have been proposed for realizing quantum computation. The overlap $\langle \alpha | -\alpha \rangle$ of two coherent states $|\pm\alpha\rangle$ of π difference is $\exp(-2|\alpha|^2)$, which decreases exponentially with α . Then we can identify the coherent states $|\pm\alpha\rangle$ with large α as basis states of a logical qubit:

$$|0\rangle_L = |\alpha\rangle \quad |1\rangle_L = |-\alpha\rangle. \quad (1)$$

One can also use Schrödinger cat states [13], the even and odd coherent states $|\alpha\rangle_{\pm} = (|\alpha\rangle \pm |-\alpha\rangle)/\sqrt{2(1 \pm e^{-2|\alpha|^2})}$ to encode a qubit [14, 15] and they are exactly orthogonal. However these states are extremely sensitive to photon loss.

Now we consider the bosonic ECS [7]

$$|\alpha; \alpha\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1 - e^{-4|\alpha|^2})}}(|\alpha\rangle \otimes |\alpha\rangle - |-\alpha\rangle \otimes |-\alpha\rangle) \quad (2)$$

which can be produced by using a 50/50 beam splitter. If $|\alpha|$ is large, the ECS is considered as a state of two logical qubits (1):

$$|\alpha; \alpha\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_L \otimes |0\rangle_L - |1\rangle_L \otimes |1\rangle_L) \quad (3)$$

which is obviously a maximally entangled state (MES), the singlet state.

More surprisingly it is found that the ECS $|\alpha; \alpha\rangle$ possesses exactly one ebit entanglement [16] and the amount of entanglement is independent of α . Doubtlessly the ECS is an MES as it can be rewritten as

$$|\alpha; \alpha\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\alpha\rangle_+ \otimes |\alpha\rangle_- + |\alpha\rangle_- \otimes |\alpha\rangle_+) \quad (4)$$

in terms of the even and odd coherent states $|\alpha\rangle_{\pm}$. Equation (4) shows that the state $|\alpha; \alpha\rangle$ manifestly has one ebit of entanglement.

In this paper we give conditions under which general bipartite entangled non-orthogonal states become MES. Using the conditions we construct a large class of bipartite maximally entangled non-orthogonal states in both the bipartite and multipartite systems. We also propose some methods to generate the bipartite maximally entangled non-orthogonal states.

2. MES condition for bipartite entangled states

We begin with a standard general bipartite entangled state [17, 18]

$$|\Psi\rangle = \mu|\bar{\alpha}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\beta}\rangle + \nu|\bar{\gamma}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\delta}\rangle \quad (5)$$

where $|\bar{\alpha}\rangle$ and $|\bar{\gamma}\rangle$ are *normalized states* of system 1 and similarly $|\bar{\beta}\rangle$ and $|\bar{\delta}\rangle$ are states of system 2 with complex μ and ν . We consider the non-orthogonal case, i.e., the overlaps $\langle\bar{\alpha}|\bar{\gamma}\rangle$ and $\langle\bar{\beta}|\bar{\delta}\rangle$ are non-zero. After normalization, the bipartite state $|\Psi\rangle$ is given by

$$|\Psi\rangle = a|\bar{\alpha}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\beta}\rangle + d|\bar{\gamma}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\delta}\rangle \quad (6)$$

where $a = \mu/N_{12}$, $d = \nu/N_{12}$, and

$$N_{12} = \sqrt{|\mu|^2 + |\nu|^2 + \mu\nu^* \langle\bar{\gamma}|\bar{\alpha}\rangle \langle\bar{\delta}|\bar{\beta}\rangle + \mu^*\nu \langle\bar{\alpha}|\bar{\gamma}\rangle \langle\bar{\beta}|\bar{\delta}\rangle}. \quad (7)$$

The two non-orthogonal states $|\bar{\alpha}\rangle$ and $|\bar{\gamma}\rangle$ are assumed to be linearly independent and span a two-dimensional subspace of the Hilbert space. Then we choose an orthogonal basis $\{|\mathbf{0}\rangle, |\mathbf{1}\rangle\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{0}\rangle &= |\bar{\alpha}\rangle & |\mathbf{1}\rangle &= (|\bar{\gamma}\rangle - p_1|\bar{\alpha}\rangle)/N_1 & \text{for system 1} \\ |\mathbf{0}\rangle &= |\bar{\delta}\rangle & |\mathbf{1}\rangle &= (|\bar{\beta}\rangle - p_2|\bar{\delta}\rangle)/N_2 & \text{for system 2} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= \langle\bar{\alpha}|\bar{\gamma}\rangle & N_1 &= \sqrt{1 - |p_1|^2} \\ p_2 &= \langle\bar{\delta}|\bar{\beta}\rangle & N_2 &= \sqrt{1 - |p_2|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Under this basis the entangled state $|\Psi\rangle$ can be rewritten as

$$|\Psi\rangle = (ap_2 + dp_1)|\mathbf{0}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{0}\rangle + aN_2|\mathbf{0}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{1}\rangle + dN_1|\mathbf{1}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{0}\rangle \quad (10)$$

which shows that the general entangled non-orthogonal state is considered as a state of two logical qubits.

Then it is straightforward to obtain the reduced density matrix $\rho_{1(2)}$ and the two eigenvalues of ρ_1 are given by [18]

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - 4|adN_1N_2|^2} \quad (11)$$

which are identical to those of ρ_2 . The corresponding eigenvectors of $\rho_{1(2)}$ are denoted by $|\pm\rangle_{1(2)}$. Then the general theory of the Schmidt decomposition [19] implies that the normalized state $|\Psi\rangle$ can be written as

$$|\Psi\rangle = c_+|+\rangle_1 \otimes |+\rangle_2 + c_-|-\rangle_1 \otimes |-\rangle_2 \quad (12)$$

with $|c_{\pm}|^2 = \lambda_{\pm}$.

From equations (11) and (12) we immediately know that the condition for the state $|\Psi\rangle$ to be an MES is $|2adN_1N_2| = 1$. Using equations (7) and (9), we rewrite the condition explicitly as $\mathcal{C} = 1$, where

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{2|\mu||v|\sqrt{(1-|p_1|^2)(1-|p_2|^2)}}{|\mu|^2 + |v|^2 + \mu v^* p_1^* p_2 + \mu^* v p_1 p_2^*}. \quad (13)$$

Now we show that the quantity \mathcal{C} is exactly one measure of entanglement, the concurrence [20] for two qubits. There are different measures of entanglement. One simple measure is the concurrence. Since the systems 1 and 2 in the bipartite state (5) are essentially two-state systems, we can characterize the entanglement of the bipartite state by the concurrence. The concurrence for a pure state $|\psi\rangle$ is defined by $\mathcal{C} = |\langle\psi|\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y|\psi^*\rangle|$. Here $\sigma_y = i(|\mathbf{1}\rangle\langle\mathbf{0}| - |\mathbf{0}\rangle\langle\mathbf{1}|)$. A direct calculation shows that the concurrence of the bipartite state $|\Psi\rangle$ is just the quantity \mathcal{C} given by equation (13). Then the condition for the state $|\Psi\rangle$ to be an MES is that the concurrence of the state is equal to 1 as we hoped.

For an orthogonal state, $p_1 = p_2 = 0$, and the concurrence $\mathcal{C} = 2|\mu||v|/(|\mu|^2 + |v|^2)$ which obviously satisfies $0 \leq \mathcal{C} \leq 1$. The state $|\Psi\rangle$ becomes an MES when $|\mu| = |v| = 1$ as we expected. For a partly orthogonal state, $p_1 \neq 0, p_2 = 0$, equation (13) becomes

$$\mathcal{C} = 2|\mu||v|\sqrt{1-|p_1|^2}/(|\mu|^2 + |v|^2). \quad (14)$$

Then the condition for the partly orthogonal state to be an MES is when the inner product $p_1 = 0$. For a completely non-orthogonal state, $p_1 \neq 0$ and $p_2 \neq 0$. It is remarkable to see that we still have possibilities to make the concurrence \mathcal{C} be 1. One case for $\mathcal{C} = 1$ is given by

$$\mu = -v \quad \langle\bar{\alpha}|\bar{\gamma}\rangle = \langle\bar{\delta}|\bar{\beta}\rangle. \quad (15)$$

The necessary and sufficient condition for the state $|\Psi\rangle$ to be an MES is discussed in detail in another paper [21]. We call equation (15) the MES condition for the general state $|\Psi\rangle$. The MES condition (15) immediately gives a interesting antisymmetric MES

$$|\Psi_a\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-|\langle\bar{\alpha}|\bar{\beta}\rangle|^2)}}(|\bar{\alpha}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\beta}\rangle - |\bar{\beta}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\alpha}\rangle). \quad (16)$$

The amount of entanglement of the state is exactly one ebit and the entanglement is independent of the parameters involved. However, for a symmetric state

$$|\Psi_s\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+|\langle\bar{\alpha}|\bar{\beta}\rangle|^2)}}(|\bar{\alpha}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\beta}\rangle + |\bar{\beta}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\alpha}\rangle) \quad (17)$$

the corresponding concurrence is $\mathcal{C} = \frac{1-|\langle\bar{\alpha}|\bar{\beta}\rangle|^2}{1+|\langle\bar{\alpha}|\bar{\beta}\rangle|^2}$, which indicates that the symmetric state is not maximally entangled except for the orthogonal case $\langle\bar{\alpha}|\bar{\beta}\rangle = 0$. Note that states $|\bar{\alpha}\rangle$ and $|\bar{\beta}\rangle$ are different normalized arbitrary states. From the above discussions we see that the relative phase plays an important role in the entanglement.

Hirota *et al* [22] have found that the state $|\Psi_a\rangle$ is an MES. However, they impose a restriction that the overlap $\langle\bar{\alpha}|\bar{\beta}\rangle$ is a real number. As we discussed here, this restriction is not

necessary and the states $|\bar{\alpha}\rangle$ and $|\bar{\beta}\rangle$ can be arbitrary. As an illustration of the importance of complex overlap, we consider a state

$$|\alpha, \alpha^*\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1 - |\langle\alpha|\alpha^*\rangle|^2)}}(|\alpha\rangle \otimes |\alpha^*\rangle - |\alpha\rangle \otimes |\alpha^*\rangle)$$

which is maximally entangled. The overlap $\langle\alpha|\alpha^*\rangle = e^{|\alpha|^2(e^{-i2\theta} - 1)}$ is real only when $\alpha = |\alpha|e^{i\theta}$ is real or purely imaginary. So the MES with real overlap is a small subset of the set formed by the MES with complex overlap.

More maximally entangled ECS can be constructed. For instance, the bosonic coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ can be replaced by the abstract $su(2)$ coherent state and $su(1, 1)$ coherent state in the state $|\alpha; \alpha\rangle$, and then the corresponding $su(2)$ and $su(1, 1)$ ECS [8] with one ebit of entanglement can be obtained.

3. More general entangled non-orthogonal states

Now we consider a more general entangled coherent state of the following type:

$$|\Phi\rangle = a|\bar{\alpha}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\beta}\rangle + b|\bar{\alpha}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\delta}\rangle + c|\bar{\gamma}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\beta}\rangle + d|\bar{\gamma}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\delta}\rangle. \quad (18)$$

We assume that the state $|\Phi\rangle$ is a normalized state. When $b = c = 0$, the state $|\Phi\rangle$ reduces to the state $|\Psi\rangle$. One typical useful example of this type of state is the state generated by the interaction

$$H = \chi a_1^\dagger a_1 a_2^\dagger a_2 \quad (19)$$

where a_i and a_i^\dagger are the bosonic annihilation and creation operators of system i . After an interaction time $t = \pi/\chi$, from the initial product of coherent states $|\alpha\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle$, the output state is [23]

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}[(|\alpha\rangle + |-\alpha\rangle) \otimes |\beta\rangle + (|\alpha\rangle - |-\alpha\rangle) \otimes |-\beta\rangle] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(|\alpha\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle + |\alpha\rangle \otimes |-\beta\rangle + |-\alpha\rangle \otimes |\beta\rangle - |-\alpha\rangle \otimes |-\beta\rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

This state was successfully used to construct entangled coherent-state qubits in an ion trap [24].

Using the same technique as in the section above we can consider the state $|\Phi\rangle$ as a two-qubit state. We write the state in the form of qubits

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi\rangle &= (ap_2 + b + cp_1 p_2 + dp_1)|\mathbf{0}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{0}\rangle + N_2(a + cp_1)|\mathbf{0}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{1}\rangle \\ &\quad + N_1(d + cp_2)|\mathbf{1}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{0}\rangle + cN_1 N_2|\mathbf{1}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{1}\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

in the basis defined in equation (8).

For a general pure state

$$|\psi\rangle = a|\mathbf{0}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{0}\rangle + b|\mathbf{0}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{1}\rangle + c|\mathbf{1}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{0}\rangle + d|\mathbf{1}\rangle \otimes |\mathbf{1}\rangle \quad (22)$$

the concurrence is given by [20]

$$C = 2|ad - bc|. \quad (23)$$

From equations (24) and (23), the concurrence of state $|\Phi\rangle$ is obtained as

$$C = 2\sqrt{1 - |p_1|^2}\sqrt{1 - |p_2|^2}|ad - bc|. \quad (24)$$

When $b = c = 0$ equation (24) reduces to equation (13) as we expected. From equation (24) the corresponding concurrence of the state $|\phi\rangle$ is simply obtained as

$$C = \sqrt{(1 - e^{-4|\alpha|^2})(1 - e^{-4|\beta|^2})}. \quad (25)$$

We see that the state becomes a maximally entangled state if and only if the amplitudes $|\alpha| \gg 1$ and $|\beta| \gg 1$.

4. Bipartite entanglement in multipartite systems

It is more interesting to ask if we can obtain bipartite MES in multipartite systems. A bipartite MES with even systems we can offer is

$$|\bar{\alpha}; \bar{\beta}\rangle_{2N} = |\bar{\alpha}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\bar{\alpha}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\beta}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\bar{\beta}\rangle - |\bar{\beta}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\bar{\beta}\rangle \otimes |\bar{\alpha}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\bar{\alpha}\rangle \quad (26)$$

up to a normalization constant. To see the fact that this state is an MES we consider the first N systems as system 1 and the other N systems as system 2. By this observation, these two states satisfy the MES condition (15), i.e., they are the MES in the sense that the concurrence $\mathcal{C}_{(12\dots N)(N+1,N+2\dots 2N)}$ between the first N systems and the second N systems is equal to 1. Of course we can construct more complicated bipartite MES in the multipartite system according to the MES condition.

Now we consider an ECS defined by

$$|\alpha; -\alpha\rangle_N = |\alpha\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\alpha\rangle - |-\alpha\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |-\alpha\rangle. \quad (27)$$

For even N , this state is a bipartite MES, however for odd N , usually it is not. The above state can be considered as a multipartite maximally entangled state if the coherent states $|\pm\alpha\rangle$ are considered as logical qubits as in equation (1).

After normalization, the MES $|\alpha; -\alpha\rangle_N$ is expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha; -\alpha\rangle_N &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1 - e^{-2N|\alpha|^2})}} (|\alpha\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\alpha\rangle - |-\alpha\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |-\alpha\rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sinh(N|\alpha|^2)}} \sum_{n_1 \cdots n_N}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{n_1 + \cdots + n_N} [1 - (-1)^{n_1 + \cdots + n_N}]}{2\sqrt{n_1! \cdots n_N!}} |n_1 \cdots n_N\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $|n_1 \cdots n_N\rangle = |n_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |n_N\rangle$ and $|n_k\rangle$ are Fock states of system k .

In the limit $|\alpha| \rightarrow 0$, we see that only the terms with $n_1 + \cdots + n_N = 1$ survive, and the resultant state is

$$|W\rangle_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (|100 \cdots 0\rangle + |0100 \cdots 0\rangle + \cdots + |0000 \cdots 1\rangle). \quad (29)$$

It is interesting to see that the state is the so-called W state [25, 26]. The entanglement of the W state is maximally robust under disposal of any one of the qubits.

The state $|\alpha; -\alpha\rangle_N$ with even N is a bipartite MES and then the W state with even N is also a bipartite MES. For instance, $|W\rangle_4$ can be rewritten as

$$|W\rangle_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\Psi^+\rangle \otimes |00\rangle + |00\rangle \otimes |\Psi^+\rangle) \quad (30)$$

which manifestly has one ebit of entanglement. Here the state $|\Psi^+\rangle$ represents one of the Bell states, i.e.

$$|\Psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|01\rangle + |10\rangle).$$

However the state $|\alpha; -\alpha\rangle_N$ with odd N is not a bipartite MES. For instance, from equation (23) the concurrence between system 1 and systems 2 and 3 of the state $|\alpha; -\alpha\rangle_3$ is obtained as

$$\mathcal{C}_{1(23)} = \frac{\sqrt{(1 - e^{-4|\alpha|^2})(1 - e^{-8|\alpha|^2})}}{(1 - e^{-6|\alpha|^2})}. \quad (31)$$

In the limit $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$, the concurrence becomes 1 as we expected, and in the limit $|\alpha| \rightarrow 0$, the concurrence $\mathcal{C}_{1(23)} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}$, which can be understood as follows. The state $|\alpha; -\alpha\rangle_3$ becomes the W state in the limit $|\alpha| \rightarrow 0$, and for the state $|W\rangle_N$, an equality [27]

$$\mathcal{C}_{12}^2 + \mathcal{C}_{13}^2 + \cdots + \mathcal{C}_{1N}^2 = \mathcal{C}_{1(23\dots n)}^2 \quad (32)$$

holds. For $|W\rangle_3$ the concurrence $\mathcal{C}_{12} = \mathcal{C}_{13} = 2/3$, therefore $\mathcal{C}_{1(23)} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}$.

In a three-qubit system we can construct a bipartite MES as

$$\left| \alpha; \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle_3 = |\alpha\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle - |-\alpha\rangle \otimes \left| -\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle \otimes \left| -\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle \quad (33)$$

In the limit $|\alpha| \rightarrow 0$, it reduces to the MES $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1\rangle \otimes |00\rangle + |0\rangle \otimes |\Psi^+\rangle)$. Further, in odd systems the bipartite MES is constructed as

$$\left| \alpha; \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2N}} \right\rangle_{2N+1} = |\alpha\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2N}} \right\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \left| \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2N}} \right\rangle - |-\alpha\rangle \otimes \left| \frac{-\alpha}{\sqrt{2N}} \right\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \left| \frac{-\alpha}{\sqrt{2N}} \right\rangle \quad (34)$$

with the concurrence $\mathcal{C}_{1(23\dots 2N+1)} = 1$, which results from the identity

$$\langle \alpha | -\alpha \rangle = (\langle \alpha / \sqrt{2N} | -\alpha / \sqrt{2N} \rangle)^{2N} \quad (35)$$

and the MES condition.

5. Generation of the entangled states

Now we consider how to generate the bipartite maximally entangled non-orthogonal states in both bipartite and multipartite systems.

5.1. Bipartite entangled states

One method is already given by Barenco *et al* [28] and Buek and Hillery [29], and based on controlled-SWAP gate which is described by the following transformation

$$|0\rangle|\bar{\alpha}\rangle|\bar{\beta}\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle|\bar{\alpha}\rangle|\bar{\beta}\rangle \quad |1\rangle|\bar{\alpha}\rangle|\bar{\beta}\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle|\bar{\beta}\rangle|\bar{\alpha}\rangle. \quad (36)$$

Let the input state of the controlled-SWAP gate be $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)|\bar{\alpha}\rangle|\bar{\beta}\rangle$ and we measure the output state. If we measure the qubit on the state $|-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$, we obtain exactly the antisymmetric maximally entangled state $|\Psi_a\rangle$ (23). The entanglement swapping method [30] can be used to generate entangled coherent states in trapped-ion systems [31, 32], which is also discussed in [33]. Here we generalize the method proposed by van Enk and Hirota [16], who have studied how to generate $|\alpha; \alpha\rangle$ using a 50/50 beam splitter.

The 50/50 beam splitter is described by $B_{1,2} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}(a_1^\dagger a_2 + a_2^\dagger a_1)}$, which transforms the state $|\alpha\rangle_1 \otimes |\beta\rangle_2$ as

$$B_{1,2}|\alpha\rangle_1 \otimes |\beta\rangle_2 = |(\alpha + i\beta)/\sqrt{2}\rangle_1 \otimes |(\beta + i\alpha)/\sqrt{2}\rangle_2. \quad (37)$$

Further using the phase shifter $P_2 = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}a_2^\dagger a_2}$ which effects a phase shift of $-\pi/2$, we can have the transformation $\mathcal{B}_{1,2} = P_2 B_{1,2} P_2$, which transforms the coherent states as

$$\mathcal{B}_{1,2}|\alpha\rangle_1 \otimes |\beta\rangle_2 = |\epsilon_+\rangle_1 \otimes |\epsilon_-\rangle_2 \quad (38)$$

where $\epsilon_\pm = (\alpha \pm \beta)/\sqrt{2}$. Now let the input state be $|\alpha\rangle_{1-} \otimes |\beta\rangle_2$, i.e., the input state is the direct product of the odd coherent state $|\alpha\rangle_{1-}$ and the coherent state $|\beta\rangle_2$. After the transformation $\mathcal{B}_{1,2}$, we obtain the output state as

$$|\epsilon_+\rangle_1 \otimes |\epsilon_-\rangle_2 - |-\epsilon_-\rangle_1 \otimes |-\epsilon_+\rangle_2 \quad (39)$$

up to a normalization constant. Applying another phase shifter $e^{-i\pi a_2^\dagger a_2}$ to the above state, we obtain the unnormalized state

$$|\epsilon_+\rangle_1 \otimes |-\epsilon_-\rangle_2 - |-\epsilon_-\rangle_1 \otimes |\epsilon_+\rangle_2 \quad (40)$$

which is exactly of the form of $|\Psi_a\rangle$ (16). So the two-parameter ECS is an MES independent of the two parameters ϵ_{\pm} .

From the above procedure we can see that the odd coherent state plays an important role. If we replace the odd coherent state by the even coherent state and repeat the procedure, the resultant state is not an MES. If we let the input state be the product state of two odd coherent states, $|\alpha\rangle_{1-} \otimes |\alpha\rangle_{2-}$, the resultant state is given by

$$|\sqrt{2}\alpha\rangle_{1+} \otimes |0\rangle_2 - |0\rangle_1 \otimes |\sqrt{2}\alpha\rangle_{2+} \quad (41)$$

which is also an MES. If we replace the input state $|\alpha\rangle_{1-} \otimes |\alpha\rangle_{2-}$ by $|\alpha\rangle_{1+} \otimes |\alpha\rangle_{2+}$ or $|\alpha\rangle_{1-} \otimes |\alpha\rangle_{2+}$, the resultant states are not MES.

5.2. Multipartite entangled coherent states

We first introduce the Kerr transformation

$$\mathcal{K} = \exp[-i\pi(a_i^\dagger a_i)^2/2] \quad (42)$$

where a_i and a_i^\dagger are the annihilation and creation operators of the field mode i , respectively. It is well known that \mathcal{K} can transfer a coherence state $|\alpha\rangle_i$ into a superposition of two coherent states [13] $|\pm\alpha\rangle_i$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{K}_i |\alpha\rangle_i = 2^{-1/2} (|\alpha\rangle_i + i|-\alpha\rangle_i) \quad (43)$$

up to a trivial global phase. This superposition state has proved to be very useful in constructing optical analogues to Schrödinger's cat state [13]. Now we try to generate the following multipartite entangled coherent state with N modes

$$|\alpha; -\alpha\rangle'_N = 2^{-1/2} (|\alpha\rangle_1 \otimes |\alpha\rangle_2 \cdots |\alpha\rangle_N + i|-\alpha\rangle_1 \otimes |-\alpha\rangle_2 \cdots |-\alpha\rangle_N). \quad (44)$$

This state is in fact a multipartite entangled state for continuous variables. van Loock and Braunstein [34] have used an interesting unitary transformation \mathcal{U} to create multipartite entangled states of continuous variables. And later the transformation was used to realize an optical cloning machine for coherent states [35]. The transformation \mathcal{U} is defined as a quantum network of beam splitters as

$$\mathcal{U}_N = \mathcal{B}'_{N-1,N} \left(\sin^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \mathcal{B}'_{N-2,N-1} \left(\sin^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{B}'_{1,2} \left(\sin^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \right) \quad (45)$$

where $\mathcal{B}'_{i-1,i}(\theta) = \exp[\theta(a_{i-1}^\dagger a_i - a_i^\dagger a_{i-1})]$ is also a beam splitter transformation acting on modes $i-1$ and i . The action of the beam splitter on the two modes can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{B}'_{i-1,i}(\theta) \begin{pmatrix} a_{i-1}^\dagger \\ a_i^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{B}'_{i-1,i}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{i-1}^\dagger \\ a_i^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \quad (46)$$

Directly from equations (45) and (46), a useful relation is obtained as

$$\mathcal{U}_N \sqrt{N} a_1^\dagger \mathcal{U}_N^\dagger = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^\dagger. \quad (47)$$

Equation (47) leads to

$$\mathcal{U}_N D_1(\sqrt{N}\alpha) \mathcal{U}_N^\dagger = \prod_{i=1}^N D_i(\alpha) \quad (48)$$

where $D_i(\alpha) = \exp(\alpha a_i^\dagger - \alpha^* a_i)$ is the displacement operator for mode i .

Let the initial state of the N -mode systems be $|\sqrt{N}\alpha\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle_2 \cdots |0\rangle_N$, and let the unitary operator $\mathcal{U}_N \mathcal{K}_1$ act on it. Then we obtain

$$|\alpha; -\alpha\rangle'_N = \mathcal{U}_N \mathcal{K}_1 |\sqrt{N}\alpha\rangle_1 \otimes |0\rangle_2 \cdots |0\rangle_N. \quad (49)$$

That is to say, we can generate the multipartite ECS from a product N -mode state by successive application of the unitary operators \mathcal{K}_1 and \mathcal{U}_N . The proposed scheme is simple and can in principle be realized by the present technology.

We can also generate the multipartite W state [25, 26]. From equation (47) we obtain

$$|W\rangle = \mathcal{U}_N |100 \cdots 0\rangle. \quad (50)$$

That is to say, the W state can be directly generated by applying the unitary operator \mathcal{U}_N to the state $|100 \cdots 0\rangle$.

Now let us see how to produce another kind of multipartite ECS. Let the initial state of N bosonic systems be

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = (|\alpha\rangle_1 - |-\alpha\rangle_1) \otimes |0\rangle_2 \otimes |0\rangle_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes |0\rangle_N. \quad (51)$$

By applying the transformation $\mathcal{B}_{N-1,N} \cdots \mathcal{B}_{3,4} \mathcal{B}_{1,2}$ to the initial state, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{N-1,N} \cdots \mathcal{B}_{3,4} \mathcal{B}_{1,2} |\Psi_0\rangle &= \left| \frac{\alpha}{2^{1/2}} \right\rangle_1 \otimes \left| \frac{\alpha}{2^1} \right\rangle_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \left| \frac{\alpha}{2^{i/2}} \right\rangle_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \left| \frac{\alpha}{2^{(N-2)/2}} \right\rangle_{N-2} \\ &\otimes \left| \frac{\alpha}{2^{(N-1)/2}} \right\rangle_{N-1} \otimes \left| \frac{\alpha}{2^{(N-1)/2}} \right\rangle_N - \left| \frac{-\alpha}{2^{1/2}} \right\rangle_1 \otimes \left| \frac{-\alpha}{2^1} \right\rangle_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \left| \frac{-\alpha}{2^{i/2}} \right\rangle_i \\ &\otimes \cdots \otimes \left| \frac{-\alpha}{2^{(N-2)/2}} \right\rangle_{N-2} \otimes \left| \frac{-\alpha}{2^{(N-1)/2}} \right\rangle_{N-1} \otimes \left| \frac{-\alpha}{2^{(N-1)/2}} \right\rangle_N. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

It is easy to check that the $\mathcal{C}_{1(23..N)} = 1$ due to the identity

$$\left\langle \frac{\alpha}{2^{1/2}} \left| \frac{-\alpha}{2^{1/2}} \right. \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\alpha}{2^{(N-1)/2}} \left| \frac{-\alpha}{2^{(N-1)/2}} \right. \right\rangle \prod_{i=2}^{N-1} \left\langle \frac{\alpha}{2^{i/2}} \left| \frac{-\alpha}{2^{i/2}} \right. \right\rangle. \quad (53)$$

So this state is a bipartite MES. Note that here the integer N can be either even or odd.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion we have given conditions under which general bipartite entangled non-orthogonal states become MES. According to the conditions a large class of bipartite maximally entangled non-orthogonal states are constructed in both the bipartite and multipartite systems. A remarkable property of these MES is that the amount of entanglement is independent of the parameters involved in the states. We also propose some methods to generate the MES. Specifically the multipartite entangled coherent states and the multipartite W state are generated by quantum networks of beam splitters.

The applications of the bipartite MES discussed in this paper are already considered in the context of quantum teleportation of coherent states [16] and entangled coherent states [36]. The MES are expected to have more applications in quantum information processing. Throughout the paper we only consider the bipartite entanglement. The more difficult task is to quantify the genuine multipartite entanglement [25, 37] in the multipartite non-orthogonal states, which are now under consideration.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Klaus Mølmer, Barry C Sanders and Anders Sørensen for helpful discussions. This work is supported by the Information Society Technologies Programme IST-1999-11053, EQUIP, action line 6-2-1 and the European Project Q-ACTA.

References

- [1] Bennett C H *et al* 1993 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** 1895
- [2] Bennett C H and Wiesner S J 1991 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** 2881
- [3] Ekert A K 1991 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** 661
- [4] Murao M *et al* 1999 *Phys. Rev. A* **59** 156
- [5] Fuchs C A 1997 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** 1162
- [6] Jeong H, Kim M S and Lee J 2001 *Phys. Rev. A* **64** 052308
- [7] Sanders B C 1992 *Phys. Rev. A* **45** 6811
- Gerry C C 1999 *Phys. Rev. A* **59** 4095
- Luis A 2001 *Phys. Rev. A* **64** 054102
- [8] Wang X, Sanders B C and Pan S H 2000 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** 7451
- [9] Lloyd S and Braunstein S L 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** 1784
- [10] Bartlett S D, de Guise H and Sanders B C 2001 *Preprint-quant-ph/0109066*
- [11] Jeong H and Kim M S 2001 *Preprint quant-ph/0109077*
- [12] Ralph T C, Munro W J and Milburn G J 2001 *Preprint quant-ph/0110115*
- [13] Yurke B and Stoler D 1986 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** 13
- [14] Cochrane P T, Milburn G J and Munro W J 1999 *Phys. Rev. A* **59** 2631
- [15] de Oliveira M C and Munro W J 2000 *Phys. Rev. A* **61** 042309
- [16] van Enk S J and Hirota O 2001 *Phys. Rev. A* **64** 022313
- [17] Peres A 1978 *Am. J. Phys.* **46** 745
- Horne M A, Shimony A and Zeilinger A 1989 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** 2209
- Mann A, Revzen M and Schliech W 1992 *Phys. Rev. A* **46** 5363
- [18] Mann A, Sanders B C and Munro W J 1995 *Phys. Rev. A* **51** 989
- [19] Everett H III 1957 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **29** 454
- Barnett S M and Phoenix S J D 1992 *Phys. Lett. A* **167** 233
- Knight P L and Shore B W 1993 *Phys. Rev. A* **48** 642
- [20] Hill S and Wootters W K 1997 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** 5022
- Wootters W K 1998 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** 2245
- [21] Fu H, Wang X and Solomon A I 2001 *Phys. Lett. A* **291** 73
- [22] Hirota O and Sasaki M 2001 *Preprint quant-ph/0101018*
- Hirota O *et al* 2001 *Preprint quant-ph/0101096*
- [23] Sanders B C and Rice D A 2000 *Phys. Rev. A* **61** 013805
- [24] Munro W J, Milburn G J and Sanders B C 2000 *Phys. Rev. A* **62** 052108
- [25] Dür W, Vidal G and Cirac J I 2000 *Phys. Rev. A* **62** 062314
- [26] Wang X 2001 *Phys. Rev. A* **64** 012313
- [27] Coffman V, Kundu J and Wootters W K 2000 *Phys. Rev. A* **61** 052306
- [28] Barenco A, Berthiaume A, Deutsch D, Ekert A, Jozsa R and Macchiavello C 1997 *SIAM J. Comput.* **26** 1541
- [29] Bužek V and Hillery M 2000 *Phys. Rev. A* **62** 022303
- [30] Zukowski M, Zeilinger A, Horne M A and Ekert A K 1993 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** 4287
- [31] Savage C M, Braunstein S L and Walls D F 1990 *Opt. Lett.* **15** 628
- [32] Brune M *et al* 1992 *Phys. Rev. A* **45** 5193
- Brune M *et al* 1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** 4887
- [33] Wang X and Sander B C 2001 *Phys. Rev. A* at press
- [34] van Loock P and Braunstein S L 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** 3482
- [35] Braunstein S L, Cerf N J, Iblisdir S, van Loock P and Massar S 2001 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** 4938
- [36] Wang X 2001 *Phys. Rev. A* **64** 022303
- [37] Wong A and Christensen N 2001 *Phys. Rev. A* **63** 044301